

# Constructing Brownian Motion (in other words, the duck is the animal whose shadows look like 2-dimensional ducks)

December 2025

## 1 Introduction

The existence of Brownian motion is not immediately obvious. Here are two ways of showing it: the Kolmogorov construction via the Wiener measure and the Lévy construction with convergence.

## 2 Kolmogorov Construction

The Kolmogorov-Centsov approach constructs the **Wiener measure** and proves its existence, which we will show then implies the existence of Brownian motion.

**Definition 1** (Law). *The **law** of a stochastic process  $X : \Omega \rightarrow S^T$  is the the pushforward measure  $\mu = P \circ X^{-1}$ , where  $P$  is a probability measure and  $X^{-1}$  is the preimage of the  $S^T$  valued random variable  $X$ .*

**Definition 2** (Wiener Measure). *The **Wiener measure** is a Borel product measure  $\mu$  on  $C([0, \infty))$  such that  $\forall n \geq 1$  and  $t_1 < \dots < t_n$ , the measure*

$$\mu \circ (\pi_{t_1}, \dots, \pi_{t_n})^{-1}$$

*is a multivariate Gaussian on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, B(\mathbb{R}^n))$  with mean zero and covariance determined by  $t_i \wedge t_j$ .*

Here,  $\pi_t$  is the coordinate projection map for time  $t$ , i.e.,  $\pi_t : C([0, \infty)) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\pi_t(w) = w(t)$  where  $w$  is a continuous path. So  $(\pi_{t_1}, \dots, \pi_{t_n})$  applied to  $w$  maps:

$$w \mapsto (w(t_1), \dots, w(t_n)).$$

Therefore,

$$(\mu \circ \pi_t^{-1})([a, b]) = \mu\{w : w(t) \in [a, b]\} = \mathbb{P}(W_t \in [a, b]) \sim N(0, t)$$

where  $W$  is a random path sampled according to  $\mu$ , e.g., the law of  $W$  is  $\mu$ . That is, the Wiener measure is a measure such that finite dimensional projections of continuous paths  $C([0, \infty))$  are specified MVN

distributions. As someone on MathOverflow writes, “This definition is analogous to describing a duck as the animal whose shadows look like 2-dimensional ducks,” but it’s valid!

First, we must prove such a measure actually exists.

## 2.1 Kolmogorov Strategy

We will prove the existence of the Wiener measure with the following approach:

- Let  $D \subset [0, 1]$  (later extend to  $[0, \infty)$ ) be a countable dense set. Use Kolmogorov’s extension.
- Show a uniformly continuous function on  $D$  can be extended to a uniformly continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ .
- Show  $\mathbb{P}$  a.s. in  $w$  the function  $t \mapsto W_t(w)$  is uniformly continuous on  $t \in D$ .
- Show limits of Gaussians are Gaussian.

*Proof.* Let  $D = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ . Clearly  $D \subset [0, 1]$  is countable and dense in  $[0, 1]$ . For any finite index set  $T$  of  $D$ , consider the family of probability measures defined by  $\mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n} = N(0, \Sigma)$  where  $\Sigma_{ij} = t_i \wedge t_j$ . Under this covariance structure, these measures are **consistent**: for any permutation  $\sigma$  and any measurable sets  $F_i \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mu_{t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(n)}}(F_{\sigma(1)} \times \dots \times F_{\sigma(k)}) = \mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(F_1 \times \dots \times F_k)$$

and for  $k < n$ ,

$$\mu_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(F_1 \times \dots \times F_k) = \mu_{t_1, \dots, t_k, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n}(F_1 \times \dots \times F_k \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (\text{m times}) \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

By **Kolmogorov’s extension theorem**, there exists a stochastic process  $(W_t)_{t \in D}$  such that

$$\mu_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(F_1 \times \dots \times F_k) = \mathbb{P}(W_{t_1} \in F_1, \dots, W_{t_k} \in F_k)$$

i.e.,  $\mu$  is the fdis of  $W$ :

$$(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}) \sim N(0, \Sigma).$$

Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly continuous. Then, by uniform continuity in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the sequence  $(f(t_n))$  is Cauchy for any Cauchy  $(t_n) \subset D$ . Furthermore, there exists a well-defined limit  $\tilde{f}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t_n), t_n \rightarrow t$ , independent of choice of  $t_n$  sequence. Therefore, any such  $f$  can be extended to a unique and uniformly continuous  $\tilde{f} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

We now wish to show that, almost surely in  $w$ ,  $t \mapsto W_t(w)$  is uniformly continuous on  $t \in D$ . For  $s, t \in D$ ,

$$W_t - W_s \sim N(0, |t - s|).$$

Then for  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}|W_t - W_s|^p = C_p |t - s|^{p/2}.$$

By **Kolmogorov-Centsov**, since we can write

$$\mathbb{E}|W_t - W_s|^p = C_p |t - s|^{1+\epsilon},$$

there exists a modification  $\tilde{W}$  of  $W$  such that its sample paths are Hölder continuous on  $D$  almost surely. This implies the desired uniform continuity.

Fix  $t \in [0, 1]$  and choose sequence  $(t_n)$  for  $t_n \in D$  and  $t_n \rightarrow t$ . Define

$$\tilde{W}_t(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_{t_n}(w).$$

Each  $W_{t_n}$  is Gaussian and  $(W_{t_n})$  is Cauchy in  $\mathcal{L}^2$  :

$$\mathbb{E}|W_{t_n} - W_{t_m}|^2 = |t_n - t_m| \rightarrow 0.$$

The  $\mathcal{L}^2$  limit of Gaussian r.v.s is Gaussian, so  $\tilde{W}_t$  must be Gaussian and

$$\mathbb{E}\tilde{W}_t = 0, \text{Cov}(\tilde{W}_s, \tilde{W}_t) = s \wedge t.$$

Therefore, we have shown the existence of a process  $(\tilde{W}_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  with:

- almost surely continuous paths, &
- mean 0, covariance  $s \wedge t$  Gaussian fidis.

Defining  $\mu = \mathbb{P} \circ \tilde{W}^{-1}$  on  $C([0, 1])$ , we have constructed  $\mu$  as the Wiener measure. □

## 2.2 Wiener Measure Implies Brownian Motion

Above shows existence of Wiener measure/Wiener process, and in particular,  $\{W_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  is Brownian motion.

Extend Brownian motion from  $[0, 1]$  to  $[0, \infty)$ :

Let  $W^{(k)} = (W^{(k)}(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Define

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} W^{(k)}(1) + W^{(m)}(t - m)$$

if  $m \leq t < m + 1$ .

This is just like "gluing" together a bunch of BMs on  $[0, 1]$ . One can easily verify that  $\{W(t)\}_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  is BM.

### 3 Lévy Construction

The key idea of the Lévy construction is to produce a sequence of continuous processes that converges to Brownian Motion.

Two necessary technical facts from real analysis:

**Theorem 1** (Uniform Convergence of Continuous Functions Implies Continuous Limit). *Let  $\{f_n(t)\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$  be a sequence of continuous functions on  $t \in [0, 1]$  that converge uniformly to some function  $f(t)$ , i.e.,*

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f_n(t) - f(t)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Then  $f(t)$  must be continuous.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\{f_n(t)\}$  be a sequence of continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$  such that*

$$\sum_n \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f_{n+1}(t) - f_n(t)| < \infty.$$

*Then  $f_n$  converges uniformly to some continuous function  $f$ .*

With these results, we will show the following steps in the Lévy strategy:

- Define a sequence  $\{W_t^n\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  of continuous processes with the property that  $\sum_n \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |W_t^{n+1} - W_t^n| < \infty$  a.s.
- This implies  $\{W_t^n\} \rightarrow W_t$  a.s. with  $W_t$  having continuous paths.
- Check  $\{W_t\}$  has the correct Gaussian finite dimensional distributions.

*Proof.* Let  $\{Z_{k,m}\}$  be  $\sim N(0, 1)$ . Define the functions  $h$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that  $h_0(t) := 1$ , and for  $k \geq 0, m = 0, \dots, 2^k - 1$ ,

$$h_{k,m}(t) = \begin{cases} 2^{k/2} & t \in [m/2^k, (m+1/2)/2^k] \\ -2^{k/2} & t \in [(m+1/2)/2^k, (m+1)/2^k] \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\psi_{k,m}(t) = \int_0^t h_{k,m}(s) ds$ . Each  $\psi_{k,m}$  is continuous, piecewise linear, and

$$\|\psi_{k,m}\|_\infty = 2^{-k/2-1}.$$

Define

$$W_t^n = Z_0 t + \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^{2^k-1} Z_{k,m} \psi_{k,m}(t).$$

Intuitively, this is like building a bunch of Haar wavelets  $h_{k,m}$  and summing integrals over them with random weights  $\sim N(0, 1)$ . Small  $k$  corresponds to coarse randomness (fewer partitions), and large  $k$  creates finer "noise," ultimately bounded by  $n$ .

Each  $(W_t^n)_{t \in [0,1]}$  is continuous. Furthermore,

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |W_t^{n+1} - W_t^n| = \max_{0 \leq m < 2^{n+1}} |Z_{n+1,m}| \|\psi_{n+1,m}\|_\infty = 2^{-n/2-3/2} \max_{m < 2^{n+1}} |Z_{n+1,m}|.$$

Since  $\mathbb{P}(|Z| > x) \leq e^{-x^2/2}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{m < 2^{n+1}} |Z_{n+1,m}| > a) \leq 2^{n+1} e^{-a^2/2}.$$

Defining  $a_n = \sqrt{4(n+1) \log 2}$  for convenience,

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{m < 2^{n+1}} |Z_{n+1,m}| > a_n) \leq 2^{-(n+1)}.$$

By Borel-Cantelli,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\max_{m < 2^{n+1}} |Z_{n+1,m}| > a_n) < \infty$  implies  $\max_{m < 2^{n+1}} |Z_{n+1,m}| \leq a_n$  for all large  $n$  almost surely. Then we can write

$$\max_{m < 2^{n+1}} |Z_{n+1,m}| \leq_{a.s.} C\sqrt{n} \text{ for large } n.$$

Then, again for large  $n$ ,  $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |W_t^{n+1} - W_t^n| \leq C'\sqrt{n}2^{-n/2}$  and since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n/2} \sqrt{n} < \infty,$$

we have that

$$\sum_n \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |W_t^{n+1} - W_t^n| < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

Then  $\{W_t^n\} \rightarrow \{W_t\}$  uniformly almost surely, and since each  $W_t^n$  is continuous,  $W_t$  has continuous paths almost surely.

For  $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_r \leq 1$ , elements of the vector  $(W_{t_1}^n, \dots, W_{t_r}^n)$  are built from finite linear combinations of independent Gaussians. Therefore, taking linear combinations of these elements will also be Gaussian, so the entire vector is joint Gaussian. Furthermore, since

$$(W_{t_1}^n, \dots, W_{t_r}^n) \rightarrow^{\mathcal{L}^2} (W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_r}),$$

and limits of Gaussians are Gaussian, we have that  $(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_r})$  is Gaussian.

$$\mathbb{E}(W_t W_s) = \int_0^{t \wedge s} 1 du = t \wedge s$$

by orthonormality of the Haar basis. Therefore,

$$(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_r}) \sim N(0, (t_i \wedge t_j)_{i,j})$$

has the proper covariance structure/fidis, and so  $W$  is Brownian motion.

□